# COUNTY OF TEHAMA, CALIFORNIA



SINGLE AUDIT ACT REPORTS AND SCHEDULES FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2016 THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# COUNTY OF TEHAMA Single Audit Act For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

## **Table of Contents**

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed In Accordance with Government Auditing Standards
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major Program and on Internal Control over Compliance Required by the Uniform Guidance
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards for the Year Ended June 30, 2016
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards 10-11
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs
Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs
Management's Corrective Action Plans 15
Supplemental Schedules

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

# **SMITH & NEWELL**

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

To the Board of Supervisors and Grand Jury County of Tehama Red Bluff, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the County of Tehama, California, (County) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated February 23, 2017.

#### **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the County's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified. We did identify certain deficiencies in internal control, described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs that we consider to be significant deficiencies. (2016-001)

To the Board of Supervisors and Grand Jury County of Tehama Red Bluff, California

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the County's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

#### **County's Response to Findings**

The County's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The County's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

mith ~ June

Smith & Newell, CPAs Yuba City, California February 23, 2017

CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

To the Board of Supervisors and Grand Jury County of Tehama Red Bluff, California

#### **Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program**

We have audited the County of Tehama, California's (County) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the OMB Compliance Supplement that could have a direct and material effect on each of the County's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2016. The County's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

#### **Management's Responsibility**

Management is responsible for compliance with the federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the County's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the County's compliance.

FAX: (530) 673-1305

To the Board of Supervisors and Grand Jury County of Tehama Red Bluff, California

#### **Opinion on Each Major Federal Program**

In our opinion, the County complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2016.

#### **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Management of the County, is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance is in internal control over compliance over compliance with a type of compliance to exist. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

To the Board of Supervisors and Grand Jury County of Tehama Red Bluff, California

#### Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the County of Tehama, California, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2016 and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the County's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated February 23, 2017, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

The supplemental schedules have not been subjected to auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements, and accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

Smith ~ June

Smith & Newell, CPAs Yuba City, California February 23, 2017

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Federal Program/Pass Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-through Entity Identifying Number	Passed Through to Subrecipients	Total Federal Expenditures		
U.S. Department of Agriculture						
State Department of Food and Agriculture: Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control, and Animal Care Plant and Animal Disease, Pest Control, and Animal Care	10.025 10.025 10.025 10.025 10.025 10.025 10.025	14-8506-1399-CA 15-8506-0484-CA 15-8506-0689-CA 15-8506-0934-GR 15-8506-1164-CA 16-8506-0484-CA 16-8506-0934-GR	\$ -	\$ 218 19,151 4,554 16,073 4,810 5,446 4,904		
Subtotal 10.025				55,156		
State Department of Education: School Breakfast Program National School Lunch Program	10.553 10.555	02951-SN-52-R 02951-SN-52-R	-	10,881 18,321		
Total Child Nutrition Cluster				29,202		
State Department of Health Services: Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants, and Children	10.557	14-10289 15-10122	-	160,143 490,939		
Subtotal 10.557				651,082		
State Department of Social Services: State Administrative Matching Grants for the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program State Administrative Matching Grants for the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program	10.561 10.561	10-Unknown 13-20521	-	1,478,035 223,618		
Subtotal 10.561				1,701,653		
State Controller's Office: Cooperative Forestry Assistance Schools and Roads - Grants to Counties Total U.S. Department of Agriculture	10.664 10.666	7FG14099 10-Unknown		16,094 498,085 2,951,272		
U.S. Department of the Interior						
Direct Program: Payments in Lieu of Taxes	15.226	-		592,651		
Total U.S. Department of the Interior				592,651		

See accompanying Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

Federal Program/Pass Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-through Entity Identifying Number	Passed Through to Subrecipients	Total Federal Expenditures		
U.S. Department of Justice						
Direct Program: Drug Court Discretionary Grant Program	16.585	-	\$ -	\$ 111,197		
Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program	16.738	-	-	8,235		
Board of State and Community Corrections: Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance Grant Program Edward Byrne Memorial Justice Assistance	16.738	BSCC 641-14	-	179,105		
Grant Program	16.738	BSCC 641-15		81,569		
Subtotal 16.738				268,909		
State Emergency Management Agency: Crime Victim Assistance Crime Victim Assistance Crime Victim Assistance	16.575 16.575 16.575	VW 1520 0520 UV 1405 0520 UV 1501 0520	- - -	89,572 104,967 22,609		
Subtotal 16.575			-	217,148		
Total U.S. Department of Justice				597,254		
U.S. Department of Transportation						
State Department of Transportation: Highway Planning and Construction Highway Planning and Construction	$\begin{array}{c} 20.205\\ 20.205\end{array}$	BRLKS-5908(029) BRLO-5908(055) BRLO-5908(056) BRLO-5908(057) BRLS-5908(025) BRLS-5908(070) BRLS-5908(070) BRLSZD-5908(071) BRMPL-5908(073) HSIPL-5908(073) HSIPL-5908(079) HSIPL-5908(080) HSIPL-5908(089) SRTSL-5908(024)	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	$\begin{array}{r} 31,486\\ 48,813\\ 52,167\\ 39,469\\ 57,200\\ 2,505,410\\ 12,381\\ 513,172\\ 3,084\\ 9,103\\ 10,224\\ 2,774\\ 498\\ 13,424\\ 30,713\\ 11,766\\ \hline 3,341,684\\ \end{array}$		
Formula Grants for Rural Areas	20.509	20-Unknown		384,993		
Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities	20.513	SA-64AO16-00075		300,000		
Total U.S. Department of Transportation				4,026,677		

See accompanying Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

Federal Program/Pass Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-through Entity Identifying Number	Passed Through to Subrecipients	Total Federal Expenditures		
U.S. Election Assistance Commission						
California Secretary of State: Help America Vote Act Requirements Payments	90.401	13G30354	\$ -	\$ 10,952		
Total U.S. Election Assistance Commission				10,952		
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services						
State Department of Social Services: Guardianship Assistance Promoting Safe and Stable Families Temporary Assistance for Needy Families Stephanie Tubbs Jones Child Welfare Services Program Foster Care - Title IV-E Adoption Assistance Social Services Block Grant Chafee Foster Care Independence Program	93.090 93.556 93.558 93.645 93.658 93.659 93.667 93.674	93-Unknown 93-Unknown 93-Unknown 93-Unknown 93-Unknown 93-Unknown 93-Unknown	- - - - - -	$ \begin{array}{r} 171 \\ 78,027 \\ 6,836,605 \\ 50,571 \\ 2,523,634 \\ 2,427,369 \\ 149,423 \\ 51,513 \\ \end{array} $		
California Secretary of State: Voting Access for Individuals with Disabilities - Grants to States State Child Support Department: Child Support Enforcement	93.617 93.563	14G26146 93-Unknown	-	11,965 1,179,141		
State Department of Aging: Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part B - Grants for Supportive Services and Senior Centers Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part C - Nutrition Services Nutrition Services Incentive Program	93.044 93.045 93.053	IIIB-0712-15 IIIC-080-15 IIIC-080-15	- - -	3,584 136,629 25,748		
Total Aging Cluster				165,961		
State Department of Health Services: Public Health Emergency Preparedness Hospital Preparedness Program (HPP) and Public Health Emergency Preparedness (PHEP) Aligned Cooperative	93.069	93-Unknown	-	112,176		
Agreements National Bioterrorism Hospital Preparedness Program Medical Assistance Program Maternal and Child Health Services Block Grant to the States	93.074 93.889 93.778 93.994	93-Unknown 93-Unknown 93-Unknown 93-Unknown	- - -	24,017 133,213 2,418,422 262,491		
State Department of Mental Health Services: Projects for Assistance in Transition from Homelessness (PATH) Block Grants for Community Mental Health Services	93.150 93.958	93-Unknown 93-Unknown	- -	16,131 234,960		

See accompanying Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards

Federal Program/Pass Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-through Entity Identifying Number	Passed Through to Subrecipients	Total Federal Expenditures
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (Continue	ed)			
State Department of Alcohol and Drug Programs:				
Block Grants for Prevention and Treatment of Substance Abuse	93.959	93-Unknown	-	796,290
Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services - Projects				,
of Regional and National Significance	93.243	93-Unknown	-	345,229
State Department of Community Services and Development:				
Community Services Block Grant	93.569	15F-2049	-	182,994
Community Services Block Grant	93.569	15F-2428	-	40,805
Community Services Block Grant	93.569	16F-5049		98,894
Subtotal 93.569				322,693
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Se	rvices			18,140,002
Department of Homeland Security				
Direct Program:				
Staffing for Adequate Fire and Emergency Response (SAFER)	97.083	-		567,729
Total Department of Homeland Security				567,729
Total			\$ -	\$ 26,886,537

## **1. REPORTING ENTITY**

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards presents the activity of all federal financial assistance programs of the County of Tehama, California. The County of Tehama reporting entity is defined in Note 1 to the County's basic financial statements. All federal awards received directly from federal agencies as well as federal awards passed through other government agencies are included in the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards. The information from the schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Because the schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the County, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net position or cash flows of the County.

### 2. BASIS OF ACCOUNTING

Basis of accounting refers to when revenues and expenditures or expenses are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements, regardless of the measurement focus applied. The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, is presented using the modified accrual basis of accounting for grants accounted for in the governmental fund types and the accrual basis of accounting for grants accounted for in the proprietary fund types, as described in the notes to the County financial statements. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowed or are limited as to reimbursements.

#### 3. INDIRECT COST RATE

The County has elected not to use the 10 percent de minimus indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

## 4. RELATIONSHIP TO FEDERAL FINANCIAL REPORTS

The amounts reported in the accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards agree or can be reconciled with amounts reported in the related federal financial assistance reports.

#### 5. RELATIONSHIP TO BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The amounts reported in the accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards agree or can be reconciled with amounts reported in the County's basic financial statements.

#### 6. PASS -THROUGH ENTITIES' IDENTIFYING NUMBER

When federal awards were received from a pass-through entity, the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards shows, if available, the identifying number assigned by the pass-through entity. When no identifying number is shown, the County determined that no identifying number is assigned for the program or the County was unable to obtain an identifying number from the pass-through entity and the identifying number is shown as unknown.

## 7. PROGRAM CLUSTERS

Federal programs, which must be audited together as a program cluster, include the following:

Federal CFDA	Program Title	Federal Expenditures		
Child Nutrition Clus	ster			
10.553	School Breakfast Program	\$	10,881	
10.555	National School Lunch Program		18,321	
Total		\$	29,202	
Aging Cluster				
93.044	Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part B - Grants for			
	Supportive Services and Senior Centers	\$	3,584	
93.045	Special Programs for the Aging - Title III, Part C - Nutrition Services		136,629	
93.053	Nutrition Services Incentive Program		25,748	
Total		<u>\$</u>	165,961	

## COUNTY OF TEHAMA Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

## SECTION I - SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS

Fi	nancial Statements	Status
1.	Type of auditor's report issued	Unmodified
2.	<ul><li>Internal controls over financial reporting:</li><li>a. Material weaknesses identified?</li><li>b. Significant deficiencies identified not considered to be material weaknesses?</li></ul>	No Yes
3.	Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	No
	deral Awards	
1.	<ul><li>Internal control over major programs:</li><li>a. Material weaknesses identified?</li><li>b. Significant deficiencies identified not considered to be material weaknesses?</li></ul>	No No
2.	Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs:	Unmodified
3.	Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR Section 200.516 (a)?	No
4.	<ul> <li>Identification of major programs:</li> <li>10.561 State Administrative Matching Grant for the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program</li> <li>10.666 Schools and Roads - Grants to Counties</li> <li>15.226 Payments in Lieu of Taxes</li> <li>20.509 Formula Grants for Rural Areas</li> <li>93.558 Temporary Assistance for Needy Families</li> <li>93.959 Block grants for Prevention and Treatment of Substance Abuse</li> </ul>	
5.	Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B Programs?	\$806,596
6.	Auditee qualified as a low-risk auditee under 2 CFR Section 200.520?	No
SE	CTION II - FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS	
	Capital Assets	2016-001
~ -		

## SECTION III - FEDERAL AWARDS FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

None

## COUNTY OF TEHAMA Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

## 2016-001 Capital Assets (Significant Deficiency)

#### Condition

During our audit we noted that the County's capital asset schedule at June 30, 2016 included equipment of \$21,869 net of accumulated depreciation for the Tehama County Transportation Commission, which is not a part of the County.

### Cause

The County included assets purchased by agency funds on the County's capital asset schedule.

### Criteria

Good internal control requires that the County only add assets it owns.

### **Effect of Condition**

There are assets on the County's depreciation schedule that were purchased by agency funds.

#### Recommendation

We recommend that the County review the capital assets schedules to ensure that only assets owned by the County are included.

# COUNTY OF TEHAMA Schedule of Prior Year Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

Audit Reference	Status of Prior Year Recommendations
2015-001	Capital Assets
	Recommendation
	We recommend that the County review the capital assets schedules to ensure that all acquisitions and dispositions are included, that accumulated depreciation is properly calculated, and that only assets owned by the County are included.
	Status
	Not Implemented
2015-002	Fund Classification
	Recommendation
	We recommend that the County analyze all funds to evaluate whether they are properly classified according to GASB Statement No. 34 and No. 54.
	Status
	Implemented
2015-003	Fund Balance
	Recommendation
	We recommend that the County properly record revenues, transfer to other funds, and deposits from others, and only report adjustments to fund balance for accounting changes and corrections of an error as described above.
	Status
	Implemented

## COUNTY OF TEHAMA Management's Corrective Action Plan For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

## 2016-001 Capital Assets (Significant Deficiency)

We recommend that the County review the capital assets schedules to ensure that only assets owned by the County are included.

Responsible Individual:	Jean Arnaz, Auditor
Corrective Action Plan:	The County will be removing Transportation Commission Assets during fiscal year 2016/17 as identified in the 2014/15 audit.
	The County will regularly monitor new departments that have fixed asset purchases to ensure they are entered in the appropriate "fixed asset company" within the asset software system.
	In addition, the County will review the asset schedules at each year end to be certain only County owned assets are included.
Anticipated Completion Date:	June 30, 2017

**Supplemental Schedules** 

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

## COUNTY OF TEHAMA Supplemental Schedule California Department of Aging (CDA) For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

A reconciliation of accrual basis expenditures as reported on the Financial Closeout Report to cash basis expenditures confirmed as of June 30, 2016 follows:

		Federal CFDA		Total	А	ccrual	C	Confirmed	
Program		Number	Exp	Expenditures		Adjustments		Amount	
IIIB	Transportation	93.044	\$	3,584	\$	-	\$	3,584	
IIIC-1	Congregate	93.045		47,615		-		47,615	
IIIC-1	Congregate Nutrition Services Incentive Program	93.053		10,363		-		10,363	
IIIC-2	Home Delivered Meals	92.045		83,444		-		83,444	
IIIC-2	Home Delivered Meals One Time Only	93.045		5,570		-		5,570	
IIIC-2	Home Delivered Meals, Nutrition Services								
	Incentive	93.053		15,385				15,385	
	Total Expenditures of CDA Federal Awards		<u>\$</u>	165,961	\$		<u>\$</u>	165,961	

## COUNTY OF TEHAMA Supplemental Schedule California Emergency Management Agency For the Year Ended June 30, 2016

The following schedule represents expenditures for U.S. Department of Justice grants passed through the State of California, Emergency Management Agency (CalEMA), as well as CalEMA funded grant expenditures for the year ended June 30, 2016. This information is included in the County's single audit report at the request of CalEMA.

		Expenditures Cla	Share of Expenditures Current Year				
Program	For the Period Through June 30, 2015	For the Year Through June 30, 2016	Cumulative As Of June 30, 2016	Federal Share	State Share	County Share	
<u>VW15200520 - Victi</u>	m Witness Assista	ance					
Personal services Operating expenses Equipment	\$ - 	\$ 145,985 12,338	\$ 145,985 12,338	\$ 89,572	\$ 56,413 12,338	\$ - - -	
Totals	<u>\$</u>	\$ 158,323	<u>\$ 158,323</u>	<u>\$ 89,572</u>	<u>\$ 68,751</u>	<u>\$</u>	
<u>UV14050520 - Unde</u>	served Victim Ad	vocacy and Outrea	ich Program				
Personal services Operating expenses Equipment	\$ 60,373 7,368	\$ 82,503 48,707	\$ 142,876 56,075	\$ 66,002 38,965	\$	\$ 16,501 9,742	
Totals	<u>\$ 67,741</u>	\$ 131,210	<u>\$ 198,951</u>	<u>\$ 104,967</u>	<u>\$</u>	<u>\$ 26,243</u>	
<u>UV15010520 - Unde</u>	served Victim Ad	vocacy and Outrea	ich Program				
Personal services Operating expenses Equipment	\$	\$ 26,124 2,137	\$ 26,124 2,137	\$ 20,899 1,710	\$ - - -	\$ 5,225 427	
Totals	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 28,261</u>	<u>\$ 28,261</u>	\$ 22,609	<u>\$                                    </u>	\$ 5,652	

## COUNTY OF TEHAMA Supplemental Schedule California Community Services and Development CSD Contract No. 15F-2049 (CSBG) For the Period January 1, 2015 Through December 31, 2015

	tl	January 1 through June 30, 2015		July 1 through December 31, 2015		Total Audited Costs	Total Reported Costs		 Total Budget
REVENUE									
Grant revenue	\$	84,822	\$	182,994	\$	267,816	\$	267,816	\$ 267,816
Total Revenue		84,822		182,994		267,816		267,816	 267,816
EXPENDITURES									
Administrative Costs:									
Operating expenses		520		1,946		2,466		2,466	2,242
Contract/consultant services		36,531		58,967		95,498		95,498	 98,495
Total Administrative Costs		37,051		60,913		97,964		97,964	 100,737
Program Costs:									
Salaries and wages		12,174		40,915		53,089		53,089	54,247
Fringe benefits		6,742		18,220		24,962		24,962	25,624
Operating expenses		12,147		38,380		50,527		50,527	45,934
Subcontractor/consultant services		16,708		24,566		41,274		41,274	 41,274
Total Program Costs		47,771		122,081		169,852		169,852	 167,079
Total Costs		84,822		182,994		267,816		267,816	 267,816
Revenue Over (Under) Costs	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	_	\$ 

## COUNTY OF TEHAMA Supplemental Schedule California Community Services and Development CSD Contract No. 15F-2428 (CSBG) For the Period of July 1, 2015 Through May 31, 2016

	July 1, 2015 through May 31, 2016		Total Audited Costs		Total Reported Costs		Total Budget	
REVENUE								
Grant revenue	\$	40,805	\$	40,805	\$	40,805	\$	40,806
Total Revenue		40,805		40,805		40,805		40,806
EXPENDITURES								
Administrative Costs:								
Operating expenses		361		361		361		401
Contract/consultant services		825		825	. <u> </u>	825		750
Total Administrative Costs		1,186		1,186		1,186		1,151
Program Costs:								
Salaries and wages		6,514		6,514		6,514		5,923
Fringe benefits		3,597		3,597		3,597		3,270
Operating expenses		18,564		18,564		18,564		18,302
Subcontractor/consutlant services		10,944		10,944		10,944		12,160
Total Program Costs		39,619		39,619		39,619		39,655
Total Costs		40,805		40,805		40,805		40,806
Revenue Over (Under) Costs	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-

## COUNTY OF TEHAMA Supplemental Schedule California Community Services and Development CSD Contract No. 16F-5049 (CSBG) For the Period of January 1, 2016 Through June 30, 2016

	January 1 through June 30, 2016		Total Audited Costs		Total Reported Costs		Total Budget	
REVENUE								
Grant revenue	\$	98,894	\$	98,894	\$	98,894	\$	267,816
Total Revenue		98,894		98,894		98,894		267,816
EXPENDITURES								
Administrative Costs:								
Operating expenses		2,310		2,310		2,310		2,420
Contract/consultant services		41,401		41,401		41,401		106,355
Total Administrative Costs		43,711		43,711		43,711		108,775
Program Costs:								
Salaries and wages		24,420		24,420		24,420		78,246
Fringe benefits		15,817		15,817		15,817		49,913
Operating expenses		10,264		10,264		10,264		23,470
Out-of-state travel		-		-		-		3,000
Subcontractor/consutlant services		4,682		4,682		4,682		4,412
Total Program Costs		55,183		55,183		55,183		159,041
Total Costs		98,894		98,894		98,894		267,816
Revenue Over (Under) Costs	\$		\$		\$		\$	

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK